

Web: www.kem.edu **Site Monitoring Visit** 

SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

Title:

**Site Monitoring Visit** 

SOP Code: SOP 12/V5.1 dated 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2018

### Authors:

Dr. Yashashri Shetty (Member, IEC-I)	Tophant
Dr. Sharmila Jalgaonkar (Member Secretary, IEC-I)	Sydgeons
Dr. Snehalata Gajbhiye (Member Secretary, IEC-II)	
	g. Freeles

# Reviewed by:

Dr. Sunil Kuyare (Member, IEC- I)	Sough.	
Dr. Padmaja Marathe (Member, IEC-II)	Paduraga Harathe	

# Approved by:

Dr. Padmavathy Menon, Chairperson, IEC - I (Signature with Date)

Dr. Alan Almeida, Chairperson, IEC - II (Signature with Date)

## **Table of Contents:**

No.	Contents	Page No.
1	Purpose	2
2	Scope	2
3	Responsibility	2
4	Flow Chart	2
5	Detailed Instructions	2
6	Glossary	4
7	References	5
8	Annexure	5



Web: www.kem.edu
Site Monitoring Visit

SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

#### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide the procedures to select a site for monitoring and how the site will be monitored.

### 2. Scope

This SOP applies to any visit and/or monitoring of any study sites as stated in the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) approved study protocols.

### 3. Responsibility

It is the responsibility of the designated IEC member(s) or designated qualified agent to perform on-site inspection of selected study site(s) of relevant projects it has approved.

The IEC members or Secretariat in consultation with the Chairperson may initiate an on-site evaluation of a study site for a routine audit.

### 4. Flow chart

No.	Activity	Responsibility	
1	Selection of study sites and Identification of	EC members / Chairperson	
	monitors for site monitoring		
2	Before the visit	IEC members / representative, Secretariat	
3	During the visit	IEC members / representative	
4	After the visit	IEC members /representative, Secretariat	

### 5. Detailed instructions

### 5.1 Selection of study sites and Identification of monitors for site monitoring

- IEC will identify the site(s) for routine monitoring at the time of approval of the project depending upon the reason provided by any IEC member or later after the start of the project can be for cause monitoring. This decision will be recorded in the IEC Decision Form AX 01/SOP 05-A/V5.1.
- The Chairperson will identify and designate one or more IEC members or independent monitor to carry out routine monitoring of the study site.
- The reason for identifying a particular site for 'monitoring' will be provided to an IEC member. This cause could include any one or more of the following:
  - Routine monitoring
  - High number of protocol violations, or
  - Large number of studies carried out at the study site or by the investigator, or
  - Remarkable number of SAE reports, or



Web: www.kem.edu
Site Monitoring Visit

#### SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

- > High recruitment rate, or
- Non-compliance, or
- Suspicious conduct, or
- Complaints received from participants, or
- Any other cause as decided by IEC.
- After discussion at an IEC meeting, decision regarding conducting 'monitoring' will be taken. The
  Chairperson will identify and select one or more IEC members or independent monitor who along
  with IEC members will conduct monitoring of a site.

#### 5.2 Before the visit

- The IEC Chairperson will designate an IEC member or appoint an Independent monitor who along with IEC members will perform the task of monitoring. The selected member or independent monitor will be provided with an appointment letter in this regard. A copy of the appointment letter along with the agenda for monitoring (mentioned in SOP 12 Version 5.1 point no. 5.3) will be forwarded to the Principal Investigator of the site to be monitored. The IEC members and independent monitor (if designated) will sign a Confidentiality/ Conflict of Interest Agreement Form prior to accessing documents related to study and visiting the study site.
- The Secretariat will inform the Principal Investigator in writing about the date/time of monitoring visit and request for confirmation from the Principal Investigator or Co-investigator to be available for the monitoring visit.
- The IEC member(s)/ Independent monitor along with IEC members will:
  - > contact the site to notify them that they will be visiting them. At that time, the monitor and the site will coordinate the time for the site evaluation visit.
  - review the IEC project files for the study and site profile and make appropriate notes.
  - ➤ be provided with relevant reference material/ documents related to the project that may have to be referred to during the study visits and collect the Site Monitoring Visit Report Form- AX 01/SOP 12/V5.1 from the Secretariat.

### 5.3 During the visit

- The IEC member/Independent monitor along with IEC members will-
  - ✓ Check the log of delegation of responsibilities of study team
  - ✓ Check if the site is using latest IEC approved versions of the protocol, informed consent documents, case record forms, diaries, advertisements, etc.
  - ✓ Review the informed consent document to make sure that the site is using the most recent version,
  - ✓ Observe the informed consent process or audio visual consent or audio consent, if possible,



Web: www.kem.edu

# Site Monitoring Visit

## SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

- ✓ Review randomly selected participants files to ensure that participants are signing the correct informed consent,
- ✓ Observe laboratory and other facilities necessary for the study at the site, if possible.
- ✓ Review the project files of the study to ensure that documentation is filed appropriately.
- ✓ Review the source documents for their completeness.
- ✓ Collect views of the study participants, if possible.
- ✓ Fill the Site Monitoring Visit Report Form- AX 01/SOP 12/V5.1, sign and date it.

### 5.4 After the visit

- The IEC member/ Independent monitor will submit the completed Site Monitoring Visit Report Form- AX 01/SOP 12/V5.1 to the IEC secretariat within 14 days of conducting a site monitoring visit.
- The report should describe the findings of the monitoring visit. The member-secretary will present the monitoring report at the next full board IEC meeting and the concerned IEC member/independent monitor will provide additional details/ clarifications to members, as required.
- The IEC will discuss the findings of the monitoring process and take appropriate specific action by voting or combination of actions, some of which are listed below:
  - Continuation of the project with or without changes,
  - Restrictions on enrollment,
  - Recommendations for additional training,
  - Recruiting additional members in the study team,
  - > Revising/ providing qualifications/ experience criteria for members of the study team, termination of the study,
  - Suspension of the study, etc.
- The final decision taken at the full board IEC meeting by the Chairperson is recorded in the Site Monitoring Visit Report Form- AX 01/SOP 12/V5.1
- The Secretariat will convey the decision to the Principal Investigator in writing within 14 days of the meeting.
- The Secretariat will place the copy of the report in the protocol file.

#### 6. Glossary

Independent	ependent The expert with appropriate experience and training, who is not an IEC member		
monitor	who may or may not be affiliated to the institution and who will perform the tasks		
	of site monitoring along with designed IEC members.		
Monitoring	An action that IEC or its representatives visit study sites to assess how well the		
visit	selected investigators and the institutes are conducting research, taking care of		



Web: www.kem.edu
Site Monitoring Visit

SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

participants, recording data and reporting their observations, especially serious adverse events found during the studies. Normally monitoring visit will be arranged in advance with prior notification to the principal investigators.

#### 7. References

- [1] World Health Organization, Operational Guidelines for Ethics Committees that Review Biomedical Research, (Geneva 2000)- <a href="https://www.who.int/tdr/publications/publications/">www.who.int/tdr/publications/publications/</a> (last accessed 31<sup>st</sup> July 2017).
- [2] International Conference on Harmonization, Guidance on Good Clinical Practice (ICH GCP) 1996-http://www.ich.org/LOB/media/MEDIA482.pdf (last accessed 31st July 2017).
- [3] Audio video recording of informed consent process in clinical trial, Drugs and cosmetics (second amendment)Rules 2013http://cdsco.nic.in/writereaddata/GSR%20364Ejune13.pdf (last accessed 31<sup>st</sup> July 2017).
- [4] DCGI Draft Guidelines on Audio-visual recording of Informed Consent Process dated 16th January 2014 http://cdsco.nic.in/forms/list.aspx?lid=2057&Id=31 (last accessed 19th October 2016)
- [5]. FERCI Draft Template: SOP for AV Recording of Informed Consent Process: http://ferci.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/AV-Consent-FERCI-template\_06\_01\_14-Final-1.doc (last accessed 19th October 2016)

#### 8. Annexure

Annexure 1	AX 01/SOP 12/V5.1	Site Monitoring Visit Report
Annexure 2	AX 02/SOP 12/V5.1	Checklist for Monitoring of Audiovisual recording of AV consent
		Process
Annexure 3	AX 03/SOP 12/V5.1	Guidance document for audiovisual recording of AV consent Process



Web: www.kem.edu **Site Monitoring Visit** 

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

SOP 12/V5.1

# Annexure 1 AX 01/SOP 12/V5.1 **Site Monitoring Visit Report**

	-			
IEC project no. Date of the Visi		it:		
Study Title:				
Principal Investigators:				
Department:				
Total number of participants enrolled:		Total participants ongoing:		
No. of participants completed:		No. of drop outs including reasons:		
Are the present study team members as per the list approved by the IEC  Yes  No		Comment:		
Are site facilities appropriate?  Yes No		Comment:		
Is recent version of Informed Consent (ICD) used?  Yes No	Document	Comment:		
Is it approved by the IEC?  Yes No		Comment:		
Whether consent has been taken from Yes No	n all patients?	Comment:		
Whether appropriate vernacular consent has been used?  Yes No		Comment:		
Any other findings noted about the IC  Yes No	Ds	Comment:		
Is recent version of protocol used?  Yes No		Comment:		
Is it approved by the IEC?  Yes No		Comment:		



Web: www.kem.edu
Site Monitoring Visit

# SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

Any adverse events found?  Yes No	Comment:
Any SAEs found?  Yes No	Comment:
Were the SAEs informed to IEC within the timelines ?  Yes No	Comment:
Any there any protocol non-compliance deviations/violations?   No	Comment:
Have the protocol non-compliance deviations/violations been informed to IEC  Yes No	Comment:
Are all Case Record Forms up to date?  Yes No	Comment:
Are all the source documents available  Yes No	Comment:
Are all the data transferred from source documents to CRF appropriate  Yes  No	Comment:
Are there a equitable selection of participants  Yes  No	Comment:
Are storage of data and investigating products locked?  Yes  No	Comment:
Are any of the participants interviewed Yes No	Comment :
How was the participant understanding about the informed consent process	Comment :
How well are participants protected?  Good Fair Not good	Comment:
Any outstanding tasks or results of visit?  Yes No	Give details:
Duration of visit:hours	Starting from: Finish:



Web: www.kem.edu
Site Monitoring Visit

# SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

Additional comments with summary:			
Name of IEC members and representatives who attended the monitoring visit:			
Completed by:	Date:		
Signature:			
Final Decision at the IEC meeting held on			
Signature with date			

Signature with date Chairperson, IEC



Web: www.kem.edu

# **Site Monitoring Visit**

## **SOP 12/V5.1**

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

#### Annexure 2

## AX 02/SOP 12/V5.1

# **Checklist for Monitoring of Audiovisual recording of AV consent Process**

1.	Facility where informed consent process should be carried out - (well lit, free from noise, privacy ensured, dedicated room, camera permanently set /temporary arrangement, voice recording to be tested before hand ):  a. Yes No  b. Remarks:
2.	Whether consent for AV recording already taken before start of recording/ it is taken in front of the camera Yes No
3.	Whether elements enlisted in Appendix V of Schedule Y is covered during discussion.  a. Yes No  b. Remarks:
4.	Introduction of each person – name , age (person conducting the informed consent discussion participant/ legally acceptable representative (LAR) wherever relevant / impartial witness wherever relevant) involved during informed consent process and information about necessity for audiovisual recording - by name, designation and his/ her role in the research, current date and time, enquiry of the language participant understands , showing the consent form in the camera which is going to be used for the study  a. Yes No  b. Remarks:
5.	The following minimum elements should feature in the recording of the informed consent process: (Purpose , treatment allotment ,randomization , procedure , follow up , benefit/risks, compensation for Participation, Compensation for Study related Injury, nominee name and details , voluntariness and right to withdraw and contact for further information — Investigator name and EC Chair/member secretary name)  a. Yes No  b. Remarks:
6.	If IC has been administered by a designated person who is not medically qualified?  a. Yes No  b. Remarks:

7. Is there evidence that subject's queries of a medical nature were answered in the process or assurance was given to clarify the same later?



Web: www.kem.edu

# **Site Monitoring Visit**

# SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

			No	-
8.	understand a.	ls best and is l Yes	in language the participant/ legally acceptable r iterate in. No	representative (LAR)
9.	the conserrules.	it is being rec	cipant/ LAR and impartial witness (as applicable) that toorded for the purpose of documentation as required	
			No	-
10.	information a.	n and privacy o	cipant/ LAR and impartial witness (as applicable) that to participants is assured.  No	the confidentiality of
11.	shown to g a.	overnment ag Yes	cipant/ LAR and impartial witness (as applicable) that togencies or members from the IEC.  No	he recording may be
12.	a.	Yes	by the person conducting the informed consent discuss No	sion. -
13.	a.	Yes	cording is performed for all subjects, independently.  No	-
14.	Questions a. b.	Yes	icipation asked by the potential participant/LAR are ans No	swered satisfactorily.
15.	Ample time a. b.	Yes	read and understand the consent as per the content? No	
16.	Opportunit	y to discuss th	ne same with family members	
	a. h		_ No	
	(1	KEIHAI KY		



Web: www.kem.edu

# **Site Monitoring Visit**

# SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

17.	_	t by the pa in Informed	•	g read out by impartial witr	ness) the statements
	a.	Yes	_ No		
				<del>-</del> 	_
18.	Stating who	ether particip	oant agrees or not for e	ach statement.	
	a.	Yes	_ No	_	
	b.				_
19.	Whether ch	ecked for par	ticipants understanding	of the informed consent proce	ess
		•	_ No	•	
20.	Documenta	tion of signat	ures of all those involved	d in the Informed Consent Proc	cess.
	a.	Yes	_ No	_	
	b.			_	_
21.	Clarity and	completeness	s of AV recording (pages	vis-a- vis timing)	
	a.	Yes	_ No	_	
				_	_
22.	Check whet	her re-conser	nting is done for changes	in ICF/LAR inclusion in the beg	ginning if any.
	a.	Yes	_ No	_	
	b.	Remarks:			_
22	Check whet	her re-conser	nting is done by the same	a Investigator	
۷٥.			No		
	b.	Remarks:	_ 110	-	
24	Whether re	-consenting is	s done in same language		_
۷٦.	a.	_	No		
	U.	Kelliaiks			-
25.	How much t	iming taken 1	for the re-consent		
	a.	Yes	_ No	_	
	b.				_
26	Storage of	recording in	nassword protected la	ptop/ desktop computer and	/ or hard drive and
20.	labelled CD	recording in	passivora protected la	prop, acsitop compater and	, or mand arrive and
	a.	Ves	_ No		
				-	
	Ken	iiai k3			



Web: www.kem.edu **Site Monitoring Visit** 

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

**SOP 12/V5.1** 

a. Yes No			
Remarks:			
nature and date of PL	′Co-inv		

#### Annexure 3

AX 03/SOP 12/V5.1

#### **Guidance document for audiovisual recording of AV consent Process**

#### **Pre-recording checklist:**

- 1. Equipment is functioning correctly YES /NO
- 2. All parties (trial team personnel conducting the consent, the patient and as applicable legally acceptable representative (LAR), impartial witness and/or translator are seated comfortably and are seen within the frame of the video recording. YES /NO
- 3. All parties are reminded that this AV recording is in compliance with regulatory requirements YES /NO
- 4. All parties are informed that this AV recording will be kept confidential but can be shown to others as per legal requirements or for ensuring compliance with law. YES /NO

#### AV recording:

- 1. Reconfirm that the video recording frame includes all concerned parties. YES /NO
- 2. The member of the research team should state the date, time, title of the research protocol and the language of the written informed consent document. YES /NO
- 3. All concerned parties should identify themselves by stating their names, designation and role with respect to the consent process for this research. YES /NO
- 4. If LAR is involved, he/she should state relation to participant. YES /NO
- 5. If translator is involved, he/she should confirm that he/she is proficient in the language of the informed consent document as well as the language in which the medically qualified authorized member of the research team is proficient in for the consent process. YES /NO
- 6. At any point during the recording, any participant may request for a break (eg to go to the bathroom or answer a phone). In such a case, the AV recording shall be stopped mentioning the time of stopping. It will be resumed/restarted by stating the date and time of restarting the recording. YES /NO
- 7. The medically qualified authorized member of the research team administering the consent shall use the checklist to ask the potential participant/ LAR questions to document the authenticity of the informed consent process. Translation will be done as applicable. The answers of the participant/ LAR shall be recorded for each point. YES /NO
- 8. The actual signing process by all concerned parties should also be recorded. YES /NO

### Post recording checklist:

1. The memory card/ storage device used in the camera for video recording will be the source document. Check the file for clarity regarding the audio and video recording. YES /NO



Web: www.kem.edu

## **Site Monitoring Visit**

SOP 12/V5.1

Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018, Valid up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2020

- 2. The memory card/ storage device used in the camera for video recording will be the source document. Check the file for clarity regarding the audio and video recording. YES /NO
- 3. Rename the file with the unique number for the patient on this research protocol. YES /NO
- 4. Make backup one by copying that file onto the dedicated external HDD that shall be used to document all consent AV recording for a specific research protocol. YES /NO
- 5. This external HDD should be suitably labeled and password protected. YES /NO
- 6. Store the external HDD in a secure location to ensure confidentiality. YES /NO
- 7. Make backup two by copying that file onto a remote cloud storage with encryption using the computer with internet access. YES /NO
- 8. This should also be suitably located, labeled and password protected. YES /NO