Annexure 3 AX 03/SOP 20/V6.1



Checklist-Research Involving Cognitively Impaired Adults

INSTITUTIONAL ETHICS COMMITTEE (IEC)

Seth GS Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai.

Pro	iect	Registratio	n No	
1 10	JOOL	regionano	11110.	

- The purpose of this checklist is to provide support for IEC members or the Designated Reviewer when reviewing research involving cognitively impaired adults as subjects.
 - 1. For review using the expedited procedure this checklist is to be completed by the **Designated**Reviewer to document determinations required by the regulations and protocol specific findings justifying those determinations and retained.
 - 2. For review using the convened IEC is to document determinations required by the regulations and protocol specific findings justifying these determinations.

 Research Involving Cognitively Impaired Adults in which there is Anticipated Direct Benefit to the subject (All items must be "Yes") 						
	Yes		No	One of the following is true (Check the box t-hat is true) The risk to the participants is presented by an intervention or procedure that holds out prospect of direct benefit for the individual subject. More than minimal risk to participants is presented by monitoring procedure that is likely to contribute to the participants well – being.		
	Yes		No	The risk is justified by the anticipated benefit to the participants.		
	Yes		No	The relation of anticipated benefit to the risk is at least as favourable to the participants as that presented by available alternative approaches.		
	Yes		No	The proposed plan for the assessment of the capacity to consent is adequate.		
	Yes		No	Assent is required of: (One of the following must be "Yes") One of the following is true (Check box that is true) All participants All participants capable of being consulted. None of the participants		
	Yes		No	The consent document includes a signature line for a legally authorized representative.		
	I Involving Cognitive		a Adults I	in which there is No Anticipated Direct Benefit to the		

□ Yes	□ No	The proposed plan for the assessment of the capacity to consent is adequate.			
☐ Yes	□ No	The objectives of the trial cannot be met by means of study of participants who can give consent personally.			
☐ Yes	□ No	The foreseeable risks to the participants are low.			
□ Yes	□ No	The negative impact on the participants well-being is minimized and low.			
□ Yes	□ No	The trial is not prohibited by law.			
□ Yes	□ No	Participants have a disease or condition for which the procedures in the research are intended.			
☐ Yes	□ No	Participants will be particularly closely monitored.			
□ Yes	□ No	Participants will be withdrawn if they appear to be unduly distressed.			
□ Yes	□ No	The proposed plan for the assessment of the capacity to consent is adequate.			
□ Yes	□ No	Assent is required of (One of the following must be "Yes") One of the following is true (Check box that is true) All participants All participants capable of being consulted. None of the participants			
□ Yes	□ No	The consent document includes a signature line for a legally authorized representative.			
Signature of Principal Investigator: Date					
IEC Office use only					
Comments: Primary Reviewer Signature 8	Date				
Trimary he vie wer signature & Date					