## Annexure 6 AX 06/SOP 20/V6.1

Chee	Checklist - Requirements for Research involving terminally ill patients INSTITUTIONAL ETHICS COMMITTEE (IEC) Seth GS Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai. Project Registration No.			
Principal Investigator Proj. No of IEC	Name: _			
Study	Title:			

RISK DETERM	MINATION	BENEFIT ASSEMENT	IEC ACTION
	Vinimal	<ul> <li>With direct benefit</li> <li>Without direct benefit</li> </ul>	□ Approved □ Not Approved
		Potential benefit	Approved     Not Approved
		□ No direct benefit to individual but offer general knowledge about the child's condition or disorder and may benefit to the society or future generations are likely to benefit.	☐ Approved case by case ( with special safeguards
			□ Not Approved
	<ul> <li>Less than minimal risk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>With direct benefit</li> <li>Without direct benefit</li> </ul>	Approved     Not Approved
		D Potential benefit	Approved     Not Approved
	□ No direct benefit to individual but offer general knowledge about the child's condition or disorder and may benefit to the society or future generations are likely to benefit.	□ Approved case by case ( with special safeguards	
			□ Not Approved
10 10 100	Vlinor ncrease over	□ With direct benefit	Approved     Not Approved

minimal risk	□ Without direct benefit	
or Low risk	D Potential benefit	Approved
	□ No direct benefit to individual but offer general knowledge about the child's condition or disorder and may benefit to the society or future generations are likely to benefit.	Approved case by case ( with special safeguards
		□ Not Approved
<ul> <li>More than minimal risk or High Risk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>With direct benefit</li> <li>Without direct benefit</li> </ul>	□ Approved □ Not Approved
	D Potential benefit	<ul> <li>Approved</li> <li>Not Approved</li> </ul>
	□ No direct benefit to individual but offer general knowledge about the child's condition or disorder and may benefit to the society or future generations are likely to benefit.	□ Approved case by case ( with special safeguards
		🗖 Not Approved

Minimal risk- Probability of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research is not greater than that ordinarily encountered in routine daily life activities of an average healthy individual or general population or during the performance of routine tests where occurrence of serious harm or an adverse event (AE) is unlikely

	Yes	No	NA
Does the research pose greater than minimal risk to patients?			
If yes: Are convincing scientific and ethical justification given?			
If yes: Are adequate safeguard in place to minimize these risks?			
Are appropriate studies that have been conducted on animals and adults justified?			
If No: Is the lack of appropriate studies conducted on animals and adults justified?			
Do the anticipated benefits justify requiring the subjects to undertake the risks			
Is inclusion of vulnerable population warranted?			

	Yes	No	NA
Can the research question be answered by using a non-vulnerable population?			
Will efforts be made ensure that participants are free from coercion, exploitation, and /or unrealistic promises?			
Are provisions made to obtain the consent?			
Are provisions made to protect participant's privacy and the confidentially of information regarding procedures?			
Are there special problems that call for the presence of a monitor or IEC member during consent procedures?			
Are special needs of counseling and confidentiality accounted for in the research design?			
Are there any special problems such as confidentiality and reporting that might arise in this research			

Comments-

Name & Sign of Primary Reviewer :

Date: